Management of keloids and hypertrophic scars: current and emerging options

Abstract: In the context of growing aesthetic awareness, a rising number of patients feel disappointed with their scars and are frequently seeking help for functional and aesthetic improvement. However, excessive scarring following surgery or trauma remains difficult to improve despite a plethora of advocated treatment strategies as frequently observed in daily clinical routine. It is thus still preferable to prevent scarring by minimizing risk factors as much as possible. Hence, it remains crucial for the physician to be aware of basic knowledge of healing mechanisms and skin anatomy, as well as an appreciation of suture material and wound closure techniques to minimize the risk of postoperative scarring. Next to existing, well known prophylactic and therapeutic strategies for the improvement of excessive scarring, this article discusses emerging techniques such as intralesional cryotherapy, intralesional 5-fluorouracil, interferon, and bleomycin. Some of them have been successfully tested in well-designed trials and already have extended or may extend the current spectrum of excessive scar treatment in the near future. Innovative options such as imiquimod 5% cream, photodynamic therapy, or botulinum toxin A may also be of certain importance; however, the data currently available is too contradictory for definite recommendations.
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Introduction

Scars form following any insult to the deep dermis as a result of the complex physiologic wound healing cascade which can be temporally grouped into three distinct phases (inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling).1 Immediately following wounding, platelet degranulation and activation of the complement and clotting cascades form a fibrin clot for hemostasis, which acts as a scaffold for wound repair.2 Platelet degranulation is responsible for the release and activation of an array of potent cytokines and growth factors, which serve as chemotactic agents for the recruitment of macrophages, neutrophils, fibroblasts, and others.2,3 Recruited fibroblasts synthesize a scaffold of extracellular matrix (ECM) which builds a structural framework to bridge the wound and allow vascular ingrowth.4 Myofibroblasts help initiating wound contraction. Once the wound is closed, the immature scar transitions into the final maturation phase, where abundant ECM is degraded and immature type III collagen is modified into mature type I collagen.4 Characteristically, fresh scars appear reddish, sometimes itchy and slightly elevated, eventually turning to flat, frequently depigmented scars without further symptoms, within a period of months.5 The majority of scars fade at approximately 7 months as demonstrated recently by examining the natural history of scar redness and maturation after incisional and excisional wounds.6
Thus, transformation of a wound clot into granulation tissue requires a delicate balance between ECM protein deposition and degradation, and when disrupted, abnormalities in scarring appear. In the past, specific anatomic locations, infection, genetic susceptibility, and delayed epithelialization have shown to increase the risk of keloid or hypertrophic scar formation after even minor surgical or laser procedures – particularly in predisposed individuals. Both lesions represent aberrations in the fundamental processes of wound healing, where there is an obvious imbalance between the anabolic and catabolic phases. A scar is densely populated by inflammatory cells, which release fibrogenic factors, such as transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1 and β2. This environment enhances accumulation of ECM, while its degradation is impaired (via decreased levels of TGF-β3 and matrix metalloproteinases [MMP], eg, MMP-9). Recent evidence suggests that both the severity of inflammation and the type of immune response predisposes to excess scar formation. Development of a T helper (Th)-2 response promotes fibrogenesis, whereas a Th-1 predominance attenuates the tissue fibrosis. The exact molecular basis of pathological scar formation, however, remains partially poorly understood. Keloids appear to be a more sustained and aggressive fibrotic disorder than hypertrophic scars. Evidence to date strongly implies a more prolonged inflammatory period with immune cell infiltrate present in the scar tissue of keloids, the consequence of which may contribute to increased fibroblast activity with greater and more sustained ECM deposition. This in turn may help to explain why keloid scars spread beyond the margins of the original wound, while hypertrophic scars, in which the immune cell infiltrate decreases over time, remain within the original wound margins and often regress over time.

Multiple studies on hypertrophic scar or keloid formation have led to a multitude of therapeutic strategies to prevent or improve keloid and hypertrophic scar formation and have been reviewed in a plethora of articles. However, only a few of them have been supported by well-designed prospective studies with adequate control groups. Today, most of the propagated therapeutic approaches are usually being utilized for both hypertrophic scarring and keloids. Nevertheless, clinical differentiation between hypertrophic and keloid scars is central before the initiation of any treatment, particularly before starting any surgical or ablative laser related manipulation, due to increased recurrence rates with keloids.

### Surgical aspects for the prevention and treatment of keloids and hypertrophic scars

Surgical approaches for the prevention and treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids should be based on five main principles.

1. General prophylactic approaches to minimize the risk of postoperative excessive scarring:
   - Delayed epithelialization beyond 10–14 days is known to increase the incidence of hypertrophic scarring dramatically, thus achievement of rapid epithelialization is mandatory for avoiding excessive scar formation.
   - Wounds subjected to tension due to motion, body location, or loss of tissue are at increased risk of scar hypertrophy and spreading, and patients should be informed of this important matter prior to any surgery.
   - Aesthetic wound closure is based on knowledge of healing mechanisms and skin anatomy, as well as an appreciation of suture material and closure technique.

Choosing the proper materials and wound closure technique ensures optimal healing. Surgical wound closure directly opposes the tissue layers, which serves to minimize new tissue formation within the wound. Appropriate surgical wound closure eliminates dead space by approximating the subcutaneous tissues, minimizes scar formation by careful epidermal alignment, and avoids depressed scars by precise eversion of skin edges. If dead space is limited with opposed wound edges, then new tissue has limited room for growth. Correspondingly, traumatic handling of tissues combined with avoidance of tight closures and undue tension on wound margins by carefully undermining and loosening the surrounding tissue contribute to a better result. We do prefer subcutaneous sutures with, for example, PDS II (polydioxanone) monofilament synthetic absorbable sutures, which provide extended wound support (for up to 6 months) and may be combined with absorbable sutures or Steri-StripTM (3M, St Paul, MN, USA) for optimal epidermal wound closure. The group of Ogawa and colleagues employs subcutaneous fascial tensile reduction sutures in their predisposed patient population, where the tension is placed on the layer of deep fascia and superficial fascia. The group prefers 2-0 PDS II or 3-0 PDS II sutures for subcutaneous/fascial sutures, and 4-0 or 5-0 PDS II for dermal sutures.
Table 1 Basic recommendations for the treatment of keloids and hypertrophic scars based on the experience of the author

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Start with</th>
<th>If no improvement</th>
<th>If improvement</th>
<th>If no improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Fresh surgical scar (patients at risk)</td>
<td>Silicone gel or onion extract containing gel (starting 2 weeks after wounding for 3 months)</td>
<td>eg, persisting erythema: PDL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Immature, small keloid/hypertrophic scar</td>
<td>TAC (10 mg/mL, women, 20 mg/mL, men)</td>
<td>Cryotherapy (10–15 seconds) directly followed by TAC until scar has flattened</td>
<td>PDL to reduce erythema</td>
<td>5-FU 3:1 TAC (every other week; eg, for acne keloids on the shoulders)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Large hypertrophic scar</td>
<td>If tension present: surgical relief of tension by, eg, z-, w-plasty (followed by topical agents as indicated above/main text)</td>
<td>5-FU 3:1 TAC (every other week)</td>
<td>PDL to reduce erythema</td>
<td>Surgical excision, ablative laser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If no tension present: cryotherapy (10–15 seconds) directly followed by TAC until scar has flattened</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Large keloid</td>
<td>Cryotherapy (10–15 seconds) directly followed by TAC until scar has flattened</td>
<td>5-FU 3:1 TAC (every other week)</td>
<td>PDL to reduce erythema</td>
<td>Surgical excision (in combination with, eg, radiotherapy, intralesional TAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intralesional cryotherapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; PDL, pulsed dye laser; TAC, triamcinolone acetonide.

2. In the case of hypertrophic scarring, timing of surgical treatment is an important consideration in the treatment protocol of scar revision strategy. Hypertrophic scars may mature over at least a 1-year period and can show significant flattening and softening without any physical manipulation. Surgical excision might thus not be needed, even though post-excisional recurrence rates of the original hypertrophic scar are usually low. However, if scar (joint) contractures are present, surgical approaches that release contractures should be performed earlier.

3. Increased tension on wound margins represents a central aspect in the development of hypertrophic scars. Thus, successful and persisting removal of excessive scar tissue may be achieved by employing Z- or W-plasty, grafts or local skin flaps to interrupt the vicious circle between scar tension and consecutive further thickening of the scar due to permanently stimulated ECM production.

4. Hypertrophic scars and keloids that have developed on the basis of delayed wound healing (eg, after deep dermal burn or wound infection) are transformed by surgery (excision with suture or graft) into a wound with appropriate healing time, thus minimizing the risk of a new excessive scar formation.

5. By surgical removal of excessive scar tissue, a situation corresponding to a fresh wound is achieved, in which renewed excessive scarring can be reduced by adjuvant conservative therapy from the very beginning. However, excision of keloids without any adjuvant therapy (eg, post-excisional corticosteroid injections, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), intraoperative cryotherapy, pressure, or radiations) should be strictly avoided due to great recurrence rates (45%–100%). Excisions of the keloid may result in a longer scar than the original one, and recurrence in this new area of trauma may lead to an even larger keloid. Interestingly, surgical repair (core excision with low-tension wound closure, or shave excision) of earlobe keloids with post-surgery corticosteroid injections, postoperative pressure (pressure earrings), application of imiquimod 5% cream, or cryotherapy on the incision site has been shown to provide overall good cosmetic results.

Current strategies for the treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids

Intralesional corticosteroid injections and cryotherapy

Intralesional steroid injections have been used for the therapy of excessive scars since the mid-1960s. To date, the use of intralesional triamcinolone acetonide represents the therapy of choice for small and younger keloids as well as hypertrophic scars and effectively provides symptomatic relief by reducing pruritus. Effects of corticosteroids result primarily from their suppressive effects on the inflammatory process in the wound, and secondarily from reduced collagen and glycosaminoglycan synthesis, inhibition of fibroblast growth, as well as enhanced collagen and fibroblast degeneration. Three to four injections of triamcinolone acetonide (TAC) (10–40 mg/mL) every 3–4 weeks are generally sufficient, although occasionally injections continue for 6 months.
or more. Adverse events include dermal atrophy, telangiectasia, and pain at the injection site. The latter can be averted by topical anesthesia and/or regional injections of local anesthetic around the scars to be injected. For older hypertrophic scars and larger keloids, the combination with cryotherapy appears more effective and currently represents the most widely used modality in daily routine. Indeed, combination of cryotherapy with intralesional TAC injections seems to yield marked improvement of hypertrophic scars and keloids. Cryotherapy is believed to induce vascular damage that may lead to anoxia and ultimately tissue necrosis. A delay of approximately 3–4 weeks between sessions (approximately three to six sessions are needed) is usually required for postoperative healing, and commonly occurring side effects include permanent hypo- and hyperpigmentation, blistering, and postoperative pain.

We usually perform cryotherapy directly before the injection of TAC, since success rates appear to be increased based on the larger amount of TAC that can be injected into the scar due to edema formation caused by cryotherapy.

**Pressure therapy**

Pressure therapy has gained popularity for the management of hypertrophic scars and keloids since the 1970s. To date, pressure garments are frequently being used for the prevention of excessive scar formation post-burn. However, their underlying mechanism of action remains poorly understood. Decreased collagen synthesis by limiting capillary perfusion and thus decreased oxygen supply to the scar tissue as well as increased apoptosis rates of fibroblasts are being discussed. Pressure therapy is usually performed with pressure suits or bandages, sometimes with transparent plastic masks or pressure buttons in special locations. Recommendations for the amount of pressure and the duration of the therapy are merely based on empirical observations and support continuous pressure of 15–40 mmHg for at least 3 hours per day for more than 6 months while the scar is still active. In a recent study, the use of 20–25 mmHg was significantly superior to treatment of hypertrophic scars with 10–15 mmHg. Nevertheless, no definite positive effect of compression garments was found in a recently published meta-analysis. Pressure therapy may be also limited by the ability to adequately fit the garment to the wounded area and by reduced compliance (particularly in patients of reduced psychological strain) due to side effects such as maceration, eczema, and odor emanating from the garment. However, postoperative pressure (eg, pressure earrings) appears to markedly reduce recurrence rates after surgical repair of earlobe keloids and may thus be recommended as an easy-to-use post-surgical procedure. Also, pressure garments may represent a promising alternative to intralesional TAC or cryotherapy for the treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids in younger children. First, pressure therapy eliminates the significant side effects (lipoatrophy, blistering, and pain) frequently observed with intralesional corticosteroids or cryotherapy in this specific patient population. And second, based on our experience, pressure therapy usually demonstrates superior results in children compared with adults.

**Radiotherapy**

Superficial X-rays, electron beam and low- or high-dose-rate brachytherapy have been employed primarily as an adjunct to surgical removal of keloids, with overall good results in terms of reduced recurrence and currently recommended by dermatologists. Side effects include hypo- and hyperpigmentation, erythema, telangiectasia, and atrophy. Since radiation represents some risk in terms of carcinogenesis, particularly in areas such as the breast or thyroid, its use should be handled with caution.

**Laser therapy**

Various lasers have been evaluated in the past decades for the improvement of hypertrophic scars and keloids. However, current data is difficult to compare due to the different laser settings utilized. The most encouraging results have been demonstrated with the 585-nm pulsed dye laser (PDL), which was first described as promising for the treatment of younger hypertrophic scars and keloids in a milestone study by Alster et al published in the **Lancet** in 1995. It is thought that the PDL improves keloids or hypertrophic scars by inducing capillary destruction, which generates hypoxemia and in turn alters local collagen production. Also, increased production of MMPs (eg, collagenase) has been described upon PDL treatment. Non-overlapping laser pulses at fluences ranging from 6.0 to 7.5 J/cm² (7-mm spot) or from 4.5 to 5.5 J/cm² (10-mm spot) are currently recommended for the treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids. According to Alster and colleagues, two to six treatment sessions are necessary to successfully improve scar color, height, pliability, and texture.
However, these findings could not be reproduced in several subsequent studies; in particular, the results in some case-control studies did not differ from the untreated control groups after longer follow-up observation periods. Thus, due to the lack of untreated controls, too small case numbers, too short follow-up periods, lack of differentiation between hypertrophic scars and keloids, or lack of information on the age and activity of the scars, the majority of published studies do not possess sufficient evidence. Side effects are generally mild and include predominantly purpura, usually persisting for 7–14 days. Depending on the energy density employed, vesicles and crusts may occur. Longer persisting hyperpigmentation occurs particularly in darker skin types and is less frequent with use of the wavelength 595 nm than with 585 nm. Occasionally, reactivation of younger keloids is observed, as experienced in our daily practice and by others. We thus usually recommend initiating treatment of keloids using the combination of cryotherapy and TAC and employ the PDL to reduce erythema (Figure 1).

Recently, the 1064-nm Neodymium: YAG laser has been suggested as a promising means for the improvement of keloids and hypertrophic scars. Underlying mechanisms of action may be similar to those of PDL therapy, however, the Nd:YAG reaches greater depths than a PDL. Its ability to treat thick keloids, however, may be limited since its efficacy decreases with the thickness of the scar. Cho and colleagues found improvements of pigmentation, vascularity, pliability, and scar height in a small Korean patient population with keloids and hypertrophic scars after five to ten treatments (at 1–2-week intervals) using low fluences. Side-effects were mild and included a prickling sensation during treatment and post-treatment erythema. Nevertheless, more studies are necessary to elucidate the ultimate effect of an Nd:YAG laser for the treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids. Thus, based on the recently published German guidelines for the therapy of excessive scarring, it is primarily PDL that can be recommended for reduction of erythema, eg, in fresh, highly vascularized, red scars, and can also be considered for improvement of severe pruritus. According to these guidelines, a treatment with conventional CO₂ or Erbium: YAG lasers may be recommended for the ablation of inactive hypertrophic scars; their use for removal of keloids as monotherapy, however, should be avoided due to recurrence rates similar to that after excision of keloids. The combination with post-CO₂-laser steroid injections 3–4 weeks apart for a total of 6 months, however, seems to yield convincing results. Due to a lack of controlled studies, no statement can yet be made on the use of fractional CO₂ lasers in hypertrophic scars.

Silicone based products
Silicone gel sheeting represents a well-known management for scars since its introduction in the early 1980s, and its therapeutic effect on unpleasant scars has been well studied. Current opinion suggests that normalization of transepidermal water loss is likely the underlying mechanism of silicone gel products rather than an inherent anti-scarring property of silicone. Silicone sheets are usually being employed 12–24 hours per day over a period of 12–24 weeks beginning 2 weeks after wounding. Currently published studies are concluding mostly positively in favor of the evaluated silicone-based therapy. A recent Cochrane review, however, determining the effectiveness of silicone gel sheeting in the treatment and

Figure 1 Baseline photograph at presentation in our scar clinic before initiation of combination therapy with cryotherapy directly followed by intralesional TAC (10 mg/mL) (A and B). Result after three cycles of combined cryo/intralesional TAC therapy before initiation of PDL (C). Result after four PDL applications (D). No signs of recurrence or reactivation at follow-up 6 months after the last laser treatment (E and F).

Abbreviations: PDL, pulsed dye laser; TAC, triamcinolone acetonide.
prevention of keloid and hypertrophic scarring concluded that most studies are of poor quality and thus the efficacy of silicone gel sheets remains unclear. Nevertheless, the current version of the international guidelines on scar management published in 2002 promotes silicone gel sheeting as first-line therapy for linear hypertrophic, widespread burn hypertrophic scars and minor keloids. In the past years, more and more studies have convincingly supported the use of silicone gels for prophylaxis of unpleasant scarring, particularly in areas of consistent movement where sheeting will not conform. The ultimate benefit of silicone gels on mature hypertrophic scars and keloids, however, remains contradictory.

Emerging options for the management of excessive scarring

5-FU

Since 1989, 5-FU has been successfully used for the therapy of keloids and hypertrophic scars, as demonstrated by Fitzpatrick and colleagues. 5-FU inhibits the proliferation of fibroblasts as a pyrimidine analog. The response rate in keloids is an estimated 50%. So far, most studies use the high-dose version of 5-FU therapy (40–50 mg/mL) aiming to destroy the keloid. In 2006, Liu et al and Wu et al promoted a “low-dose” therapy using 1.4–3.5 mg/mL 5-FU in 35 patients with 51 keloids. In 2008 and 2009, the same group could demonstrate the effectiveness of this therapy in 83 patients with a total of 166 keloids on the ear. Other studies are supporting the combination of 5-FU and TAC. In a prospective study with a total of 69 patients, the combination of TAC (40 mg/mL) and 5-FU (50 mg/mL) (1:9) once weekly for 2 months, injected strictly intraleisonal, was shown to be superior to exclusive weekly injection of TAC 40 mg/mL. In another double-blind, prospective study on 40 patients with keloids and hypertrophic scars, better results with respect to reduction in size and redness were seen with the combination TAC (40 mg/mL)/5-FU (50 mg/mL) (1:9) compared with the injection of TAC 40 mg/mL alone. Strictly intraleosomal injection of a combination of 5-FU (50 mg/mL) and TAC (40 mg/mL) (1:3) for the treatment keloids was examined in a retrospective study with either 5-FU/TAC/excision or TAC/excision in a total of 102 patients, with the combination of 5-FU/TAC/excision proving to be superior to the combination TAC/excision. We are following a similar dose regime for keloids resistant to cryotherapy and TAC. Treated scars do demonstrate significant flattening after two to four sessions, and pruritus resolves usually very quickly (Figure 2). However, for further aesthetic improvement, a PDL may be employed later on to decrease erythema and potential telangiectasia. Based on the currently available study data, the use of 5-FU for the treatment of keloids represents a safe approach. Side effects include pain at the injection site, hyperpigmentation, skin irritation, and ulceration; the latter is mainly seen in dark-skinned individuals and resolves within weeks. Listed contraindications are, among others, anemia, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, pregnancy, bone marrow depression, and infection. Systemic side effects have not been observed to date. Based on the updated German guidelines for the therapy of pathological scarring, treatment of therapy-refractory keloids with 5-FU can be considered.

Onion extract (extractum cepae)

Extractum cepae acts in an anti-inflammatory manner and is bactericidal. It is currently believed that the flavonoids (quercetin and kaempferol) in onion extract play the main role in reducing scar formation through inhibition of...
fibroblast proliferation and collagen production. A study by Phan and others suggested that these inhibitory effects may be mediated through inhibition of TGF-β1 and -β2 and SMAD proteins by quercetin.81,82 Today, an increasing body of literature is available testing the ultimate benefit of onion extract containing scar creams.83–87 Nevertheless, former clinical results are in part contradicting regarding its efficacy. However, based on recent studies, onion extract containing scar creams do significantly improve scar height and associated symptoms compared with placebo84 and appear to be effective for the prevention of unpleasant scars in patients having laser removal of tattoos88 as well as in combination with intralesional triamcinolone acetonide.89

**Intralesional cryotherapy**

Recently, a novel intralesional cryosurgery cryoneedle (CryoShape, Etgar Group Ltd, Kfar Saba, Israel) has been introduced.90–92 The probe which is inserted into the hypertrophic scar or keloid, is connected to a canister of liquid nitrogen, which causes the cryoneedle to freeze thereby freezing the scar tissue from the inside out (Figure 3). An average of 51% of scar volume reduction was achieved following a single cryogenic treatment. Scar volume reduction of 70% for ear keloids and 60% for keloids on the upper back, shoulder, and chest was achieved following a single cryo-session, as demonstrated in a recent study.84 Significant alleviation of clinical symptoms was achieved. No worsening or infection of the treated scars was noticed, and only minimal hypopigmentation was evident. The non-response rate of this technique was less than 3%. This technology demonstrates increased efficacy compared with that obtained with contact/spray probes and may thus represent a promising alternative scar reduction strategy.93 Although this technology is relatively costly, it appears comparatively cost-effective, since frequently a single cryo-session is sufficient, in order to significantly improve the hypertrophic scar or keloid (Figure 4).

**Imiquimod**

Imiquimod 5% cream, a topical immune response modifier, has been approved for the treatment of actinic keratoses, superficial basal cell carcinoma, and genital warts.78 Imiquimod stimulates interferon, a pro-inflammatory cytokine, which increases collagen breakdown. Additionally, imiquimod alters the expression of apoptosis-associated genes.94 It has been used in several trials, observational studies and case reports to reduce keloid recurrence after excision.
and was reported to have positive effects on the recurrence rate of keloids if applied post-surgery utilizing different treatment regimes (starting on the night of surgery with daily treatments or 2 weeks after the operation every alternate night for 8 weeks). However, in a recent prospective, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study including 20 patients undergoing keloid excision and subsequent treatment with imiquimod 5% cream or placebo, no significant differences in 6-month keloid recurrence rates were detected between groups due to lack of statistical power. Another study revealed contradicting data (keloid recurrence in 8 out of 10 patients treated with imiquimod 5%). Thus additional studies may be necessary to further characterize the ultimate success rates and the side effect profile (e.g., persisting inflammation, erosion, depigmentation) of this rather expensive approach for the reduction of recurrence rates after surgery of keloids.

**Bleomycin**

Bleomycin sulfate is thought to inhibit collagen synthesis via decreased stimulation by TGF-β1. Some studies demonstrate significant improvement in hypertrophic scar and keloid height and pliability as well as reduction in erythema, pruritus, and pain after three to five injections (via multiple needle puncture or jet injections) of bleomycin (1.5 IU/mL). Sporadically, development of depigmentation and dermal atrophy has been noted. Due to its toxicity, clinicians are encouraged to be aware of associated potential problems. However, systemic toxic effects of intralesionally administered bleomycin appear to be rare. Bleomycin may thus represent a promising agent for the therapy of keloids and hypertrophic scars; however, further investigation and efficacy trials are necessary to include this agent in future treatment protocols.

**Interferon (IFN)**

Based on the finding that IFN markedly decreases synthesis of collagen I and III, IFN has been suggested as an effective means for the improvement of excessive scars. Particularly, IFN-α2b has been proposed to have antiproliferative properties and may improve the pathologic features of dermal fibrosis directly or by antagonizing the effects of TGF-β and histamine. Intralesional injection of IFN-α2b (1.5 million IU, given twice daily over 4 days) resulted in 50% reduction of keloid size after only 9 days and was thus more effective compared with intralesional corticosteroids. Also, hypertrophic scars injected three times weekly with IFN-α2b demonstrated significant improvement and sustained reduced serum TGF-β levels. However, side effects are common with IFN and include flu-like symptoms and pain on the injection site. Even though IFN represents an expensive form of therapy, it may represent a promising therapeutic approach particularly for the therapy of keloids resistant to any other treatment.

**Botulinum toxin A (BTA)**

BTA immobilizes local muscles, reduces skin tension caused by muscle pull, and thus, decreases micro trauma and subsequent inflammation. Reduction of the tensile force during the course of cicatrisation and effective regulation of the balance between fibroblast proliferation and cellular apoptosis may represent a novel therapeutic option for the aesthetic improvement of post-surgical scars. Indeed, Gassner and colleagues could demonstrate that botulinum toxin injections into the musculature adjacent to the wound (15 U of BTA (Botox, Allergan, Irvine, CA, USA) per 2 cm intraoperative length) within 24 hours after wound closure resulted in enhanced wound healing and less noticeable scars compared with placebo. By injecting BTA 4–7 days prior to surgery, we have seen similar results using a slightly reduced dose regime, depending on the respective anatomic location (risk of severe asymmetry if injecting only one side of the musculus frontalis, brow ptosis).

Recently, intralesional injection with BTA has been proposed for the treatment of established keloids in a prospective, uncontrolled study. BTA was injected into the lesions at 3-month intervals for a maximum of 9 months at a concentration of 35 units/mL. Total doses ranged from 70 to 140 units per session. At 1-year follow up, three of the included 12 patients demonstrated excellent, five good, and four fair results. In none of the patients did this therapy fail. When analyzing clinical symptoms, scar regression was noted from the periphery in all of the patients followed by flattening of the lesions. Within the follow-up period of 1 year, no signs of recurrence were noted in any of the patients. As an underlying mechanism, reduction of TGF-β1 expression and decreased fibroblasts, proliferation was suggested. In a recently published study by our group, objective evaluation of BTA-treated keloids using optical profilometry did not reveal any changes after BTA therapy compared with baseline. Also, no in-vitro effects of BTA on TGF-β subtypes or fibroblast proliferation could be found. Thus, while reduction of the tensile force by prophylactic BTA injections into the musculature adjacent to the respective wound might represent a comprehensible mechanism of action for aesthetic improvement of post-surgical scars, the suggested clinical efficiency of intralesional BTA for the
treatment of existent keloids remains uncertain. Certainly, more in-depth studies on the effects of BTA on pathologic scars and/or mature keloids are needed before a comparatively expensive therapy for this particular indication can be postulated.

**Photodynamic therapy (PDT)**
Topical PDT has been used extensively in treating superficial basal cell carcinoma, actinic keratosis, and Bowen’s disease. Very recently, PDT has been suggested as a novel therapeutic approach for the treatment of keloids. The potential underlying mechanism is currently unknown. However, the photodynamic reaction generates reactive oxygen species, which in turn leads to cell apoptosis, membrane and mitochondrial damage, and activates various signaling molecules such as tumor necrosis factor-α. PDT has been demonstrated to reduce type I collagen synthesis and fibroblast proliferation in vitro, which may be responsible for the improvement seen clinically.\textsuperscript{113,114} Ud-Din et al recently demonstrated in 20 patients that three treatments of PDT (37 J/cm\textsuperscript{2}) at weekly intervals were effective in reducing pruritus and pain, and in increasing pliability of symptomatic keloids. Also, when applied postoperatively after excision of keloids, no recurrence rates were seen at 9-month follow-up, with the exception of one patient.\textsuperscript{115} Based on this small amount of data available, PDT represents a promising, noninvasive treatment which produces a good cosmetic outcome with minimal side effects. However, more studies are needed to further evaluate the optimal PDT treatment regime for this indication.

**Recombinant TGF-β3, Justiva (avotermin)**
In 2009, Ferguson and colleagues summarized the results of three double-blind, placebo-controlled trials in a milestone study published in the *Lancer.\textsuperscript{116}* Intradermal avotermín (recombinant, active, human TGF-β3, Justiva) was administered in healthy subjects to both margins of 1 cm, full-thickness skin incisions, before wounding and 24 hours later and was judged to be effective by lay observers and clinicians. Even though the investigators acknowledged their commercial interests in TGF-β3, adherence to established standards in this translational investigation and the rigorous nature of the statistical analysis in a well powered series of studies provided strong evidence for the benefits of Justiva in this setting. However, in spring 2011, Justiva failed to hit its primary and secondary endpoints in a pivotal Phase III trial. In light of these findings, the company regrettably concluded that the efficacy of Justiva may be insufficient to demonstrate significant benefit when tested in a broad population of scar revision patients.\textsuperscript{117} To date, the clinical future of recombinant TGF-β3 remains uncertain.

**Conclusion**
Scarring following surgery or trauma is difficult to predict, and both physicians and their patients are highly concerned with minimizing scar appearance, and value even small improvements in scarring as clinically meaningful. Existing prophylactic and therapeutic strategies include pressure therapy, silicone gel (sheeting), intralesional triamcinolone acetonide, cryotherapy, radiation, lasers, surgical excision, and advocates their combination (Table 1). Many of them have been proven through extensive use, but few have been supported by well-designed prospective studies with adequate control groups. Emerging techniques such as intralesional 5-FU, IFN, and intralesional cryotherapy have successfully been tested in well-designed trials and already have or may extend the current spectrum of excessive scar treatment in the future. Innovative options such as imiquimod cream, PDT, and BTA may be of certain importance; however, the little data available is too contradicting for definite recommendations.
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